Case: 1:04-cv-07248 Document #: 1 Filed: 11/09/04 Page 1 of 30 PageID #:1

26565.00A1Y9

cmd

Firm I.D. No. 412

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

JOSHUA THEIS,	NOV 1 0 2004
Plaintiff,	A 1000 KENNELLY
V.  NEXT PROTEINS, INC., I/k/a NEXT NUTRITION, INC., d/b/a NEXT PROTEINS INTERNATIONAL, ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY, ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC., MUSCLETECH RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, INC., and GENERAL NUTRITION CORPORATION, d/b/a GENERAL NUTRITION COMPANIES, INC., a wholly owned subsidiary of ROYAL NUMICIO NV,	No.  O4C 724 8  Cook County Circuit Court, IL Case No. 04 L 7864
Defendants.	)

### NOTICE OF FILING

To: See attached Service List.

YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED that on November 9, 2004, there was filed with the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division, APPEARANCE, CIVIL COVER SHEET (JURY DEMAND) AND NOTICE OF REMOVAL ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT, MUSCLETECH RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, INC., copies of which are attached hereto.

WILLIAMS MONTGOMERY & JOHN LTD.
Firm I.D. #412
Attorneys for Defendant, MuscleTech Research & Development, Inc.
20 North Wacker Drive, Suite 2100
Chicago, IL 60606-3094
312-443-3200

### PROOF OF SERVICE

I certify that I served this Notice and the above referenced documents by mailing copies to whom they are directed at the address indicated above by depositing same in the U.S. Mail at 20 North Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois, on November 9, 2004, with proper postage paid.

DAVID E. KKA**X**ITZ

Case: 1:04-cv-07248 Document #: 1 Filed: 11/09/04 Page 3 of 30 PageID #:3

Joshua Theis v. Next Proteins, Inc., f/k/a Next Nutrition, Inc., d/b/a Next Proteins International, et al.

### SERVICE LIST

### Attorneys for Plaintiff:

Paul B. Episcope, Ltd. 77 West Washington Street Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60602 312-782-6636 Fax: 312-782-1114

Attorneys for Next Proteins, Inc., f/k/a Next Nutrition, Inc., d/b/a Next Proteins International, Ultimate Energy Company and Ultimate Orange Energy Co., LLC.:

Jeffrey Singer Segal, McCambridge, Singer & Mahoney, Ltd. One IBM Plaza 330 North Wabash Avenue Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60611 312-645-7800 Fax: 312-645-7711

Attorneys for General Nutrition Corporation:

Thomas J. Burke, Jr.
Hall, Prangle & Schoonveld, LLC
225 West Washington Street
Suite 2700
Chicago, IL 60606
312-345-9600

Fax: 312-345-9608

DOCKETED 26565.00A1Y9 Firm I.D. No. 412 NOV 1 0 2004 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION JUDGE KENNELL JOSHUA THEIS, Plaintiff, ٧. NEXT PROTEINS, INC., f/k/a NEXT NUTRITION, INC., d/b/a NEXT PROTEINS Cook County Circuit Court, INTERNATIONAL, ULTIMATE ENERGY IL Case No. 04 L 7864 COMPANY, ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY MAGISTRATE JUDSE DENLOW CO., LLC., MUSCLETECH RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, INC., and GENERAL NUTRITION CORPORATION, d/b/a GENERAL NUTRITION COMPANIES, INC., a wholly owned subsidiary of ROYAL NUMICIO NV. Defendants.

### **NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

- 1. A civil action entitled <u>Joshua Theis v. Next Proteins, Inc., f/k/a Next Nutrition</u>, <u>Inc., d/b/a Next Proteins International, Ultimate Energy Company, Ultimate Orange Energy Co.</u>. <u>LLC., MuscleTech Research and Development, Inc., and General Nutrition Corporation, d/b/a General Nutrition Companies, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Royal Numicio, NV, Case No. 04 L 7864 was commenced on July 13, 2004 and is now pending in the Circuit Court of Cook County, State of Illinois. (See Complaint at Law, attached hereto as Exhibit A.)</u>
- 2. Service was made upon defendants on the following dates: Next Proteins, Inc. I/k/a Next Nutrition, Inc., d/b/a Next Proteins International (October 14, 2004); Ultimate Energy Company (not served); Ultimate Orange Energy Co., LLC (not served); MuscleTech Research & Development, Inc. (October 26, 2004); and General Nutrition Corporation, d/b/a General

|--|

Nutrition Companies, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Royal Numicio, NV (October 20, 2004).

- 3. In its Complaint, the Plaintiff alleges that the defendants manufactured, marketed, sold and/or distributed dietary and nutritional supplements some of which were consumed by the plaintiff leading to the plaintiff's injuries (see Exhibit A). Furthermore, the plaintiff's attorney has advised one of the attorneys for MuscleTech Research & Development, Inc. that the plaintiff is a candidate for a heart transplant based upon consuming the aforementioned products.
- 4. This Court has original jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §1332, and this action may be removed to this Court by the defendant pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1441 (b), in that it is a civil action between citizens of different states, and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
- 5. Plaintiff is now, was at the time of the occurrence, and was at the time of the commencement of this action, domiciled in the State of Illinois. Consequently, the plaintiff, was at all relevant times, and is, a citizen of the State of Illinois.
- 6. Defendant, Muscle Tech Research & Development, Inc., is now, was at the time of the occurrence, and was at the time of the commencement of this action, a citizen of Canada, with its principal place of business in Canada. Consequently, Defendant, Muscle Tech Research & Development, Inc. was at all relevant times, and is, a citizen of Canada.
- 7. None of the defendants are citizens of the State of Illinois, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1332 (a)(1), 1441(b).
- 8. There is complete diversity of citizenship between the parties involved in this cause of action under 28 U.S.C. §1332 (a) since the Plaintiff and Defendants are now, were at the

time of the occurrence, and were at the time of the commencement of this action, citizens of different states, and there are no other parties to this action.

- 9. Morcover, it is the Defendants' good faith belief that the amount in controversy exceeds the sum of \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs. See U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois Local Rule 81.2(a)(1).
- 10. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 1446(b), a defendant has thirty (30) days after its first receipt of a paper from which to ascertain that a case is removable to file its Notice of Removal.
- 11. A true and correct copy of this Notice of Removal will be filed with a Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County, State of Illinois, promptly after the filing of this Notice of Removal, as is required by 28 U.S.C. §1446(d).
- 12. All Defendants who have been properly served at the time of the removal have consented to the attorneys for MuscleTech Research & Development, Inc. to the removal.
- 13. In addition to the complaint at law (attached as Exhibit A), the only other state court pleading or order that this defendant is aware of is an order dated October 12, 2004 by Judge Jeffrey Lawrence continuing the state court case for a case management conference on December 7, 2004 (see order attached as Exhibit B).
- 14. By filing this Notice of Removal, the defendant does not waive any defenses that may be available to it.
- 15. This defendant demands trial by jury for all matters and controversies relating to the above captioned matter.

WHEREFORE, the defendant, MuscleTech Research & Development, Inc., by and through its attorneys, Lloyd E. Williams, Steven J. Roeder, David E. Kravitz and Sean G. Joyce,

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1441, et. seq., hereby gives notice that this action has been removed from the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, to this Court and for all other appropriate procedures.

Respectfully Submitted,

By:

One of their attorneys

Lloyd E. Williams, Jr.

Steven J. Roeder

David E. Kravitz

Sean G. Joyce

WILLIAMS MONTGOMERY & JOHN LTD.

Attorneys for Defendant, MuscleTech Research & Development, Inc.

2100 Civic Opera Building

Twenty North Wacker Drive

Chicago, IL 60606

312-443-3200

312-630-8500 (general fax)

Doc ID - 671516

26565.00A1Y9

cmd

#412

Doc ID - 671580

### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

JOSHUA THEIS,	)
Plaintiff, v.	)
NEVT DEOTERNO INC. files NEVT	)
NEXT PROTEINS, INC., f/k/a NEXT NUTRITION, INC., d/b/a NEXT PROTEINS	フ コ
INTERNATIONAL, ULTIMATE ENERGY	)
COMPANY, ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY	ĺ,
CO., LLC., MUSCLETECH RESEARCH AND	)
DEVELOPMENT, INC., and GENERAL	)
NUTRITION CORPORATION, d/b/a	)
GENERAL NUTRITION COMPANIES, INC.,	)
a wholly owned subsidiary of ROYAL NUMICIO	)
NV,	)
Defendants.	)

### AFFIDAVIT OF LLOYD E. WILLIAMS, JR.

Now comes the Affiant, Lloyd E. Williams, Jr., and if called to testify regarding this matter would testify competently to the following:

- 1. That I am one of the attorneys representing MuscleTech Research and Development, Inc. in this matter.
- 2. That MuscleTech Research & Development, Inc. was served with process on October 26, 2004.
- 3. That the dates of service upon the other defendants listed in the Notice of Removal were related to me by the attorneys for these respective parties.

4. That the plaintiff's attorney advised me that as a result of the conduct alleged in the Complaint at Law, the plaintiff is a heart transplant candidate.

Further affiant sayeth not.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 9<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2004.

E. Williams, Jr.

"OFFICIAL SEAL"
CYNTHIA M. DANIELS
Notary Public. State of Illinois
My Commission Expires 3/8/07

MTM/clb 04-03 #20075		
STATE OF ILLINOIS )		
COUNTY OF COOK ) SS.		
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COUNTY DEPARTMENT		INOIS
JOSHUA THEIS,		
Plaintiff,		盟日 - 42 日上 - 12
vs.	No.	
NEXT PROTEINS, INC., f/k/a NEXT NUTRITION, INC., d/b/a NEXT PROTEINS INTERNATIONAL, ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY, ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC, MUSCLETECH RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, INC., and GENERAL NUTRITION CORPORATION, d/b/a GENERAL NUTRITION COMPANIES, INC., a wholly owned subsidiary of ROYAL NUMICO NV,		04L 907BGA CALENDAR O FROUCT LIABILITY
Defendants.	1	

### COMPLAINT AT LAW

NOW COMES Plaintiff JOSHUATHEIS, by his attorneys, PAUL B. EPISCOPE, LLC., complaining of the Defendants, NEXT PROTEINS, INC., f/k/a NEXT NUTRITION, INC., d/b/a NEXT PROTEINS INTERNATIONAL, ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY, THE ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC, MUSCLETECH RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, INC. and GENERAL NUTRITION CORPORATION, d/b/a GENERAL NUTRITION COMPANIES, INC., a wholly owned subsidiary of ROYAL NUMICO NV, and , and each of them, states as follows:

### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 1. Defendant NEXT PROTEINS, INC., d/b/a NEXT PROTEINS INTERNATIONAL, is a California corporation. NEXT PROTEINS, INC. is the successor corporation of NEXT NUTRITION, INC. (hereinafter collectively referred to as "NEXT PROTEINS").
- 2. Defendant NEXT PROTEINS is in the business of manufacturing, marketing, selling and distributing dietary and nutritional supplements. At all relevant times hereto, Defendant NEXT PROTEINS manufactured, marketed, sold and distributed certain dietary and nutritional supplements sold as "Ultimate Punch" and "Ultimate Orange."
- Defendant NEXT PROTEINS placed both of the aforementioned products into the stream of commerce.
  - 4. Defendant ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY is a California corporation.
- 5. Defendant ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY is in the business of distributing dietary and nutritional supplements. At all relevant times hereto, ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY distributed Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange.
- 6. Defendant ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY placed both of the aforementioned products into the stream of commerce.
- 7. Defendant ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC is a California limited liability company.
- 8. Defendant ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC is in the business of distributing dietary and nutritional supplements. At all relevant times hereto, Defendant ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC distributed Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange.
- 9. Defendant ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC placed both of the aforementioned products into the stream of commerce.

- . 10. Defendant MUSCLETECH RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, INC. is a Canadian corporation.
- 11. Defendant MUSCLETECH RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, INC. (hereinafter referred to as "MUSCLETECH") is in the business of manufacturing, marketing, selling and distributing dietary and nutritional supplements. At all relevant times hereto. Defendant MUSCLETECH manufactured, marketed, sold and distributed certain dietary and nutritional supplement sold as "Hydroxycut."
  - 12. Defendant MUSCLETECH placed Hydroxycut into the stream of commerce.
- 13. Defendant GENERAL NUTRITION CORPORATION is a Pennsylvania corporation. Defendant GENERAL NUTRITION COMPANIES, INC. is a Delaware corporation (hereinafter collectively referred to as "GNC"). GNC is a wholly owned subsidiary of ROYAL NUMICO NV, a Dutch corporation headquartered in Zoetermeer, The Netherlands.
- 14. GNC is a retailer in the business of marketing and selling dietary and nutritional supplements and related products. GNC operates company-owned and franchised retail stores operating under the names "General Nutrition Center" and "GNC Live Well" (hereinafter collectively referred to as "GNC stores"). At all times relevant hereto, GNC sold Ultimate Punch, Ultimate Orange and Hydroxycut through its company-owned and franchised GNC stores.
- 15. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants NEXT PROTEINS, INC., ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY, ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC and MUSCLETECH RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, INC. because each of them and/or their representatives, agents and employees have, among other acts, submitted themselves to the jurisdiction of the courts of Illinois by transacting business and/or committing the tortious acts complained of herein within Illinois.

- . 16. Plaintiff JOSHUATHEIS, who was at all relevant times a resident of the Town of Lemont and State of Illinois, purchased Ultimate Punch, Ultimate Orange and Hydroxycut at a GNC store located in Homer Glen, Illinois.
- 17. Ultimate Punch, Ultimate Orange and Hydroxycut each contain ephedrine, ephedra and/or ephedrine-containing ma huang (hereinafter collectively referred to as "ephedrine"). These products also contain caffeine or a caffeine equivalent.
- 18. Ephedrine-based supplements may cause a wide range of adverse effects, including but not limited to heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death.
- 19. Any injuries or damages sustained by the Plaintiff was directly and proximately caused by one or more of the following acts or omissions of the Defendants:
  - (a) Failure to formulate, manufacture, market and test the aforementioned products in a manner reasonably safe for their intended use;
  - (b) Failure to warn potential users and/or consumers of the defective condition of the aforementioned products; and
  - (c) Failure to train, control and supervise its agents, employees and franchisees to ensure that the products were sold in a reasonably safe condition, resulting in negligent acts or omissions of its agents.

## COUNT I (NEGLIGENCE) NEXT PROTEINS, INC.

- 1-19. Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS hereby adopts paragraphs 1-19 as though fully set forth herein.
- 20. Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange contain ephedrine. These products also contain caffeine.
- 21. Defendant NEXT PROTEINS had a duty to formulate, manufacture, distribute and sell its Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange products in a reasonably safe condition.

- 22. Defendant NEXT PROTEINS and its agents and/or employees knew or should have known that Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange contained ephedrine, an ingredient known to cause serious adverse cardiovascular and neurosurgical incidents, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death.
- 23. Defendant NEXT PROTEINS marketed Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange as a supplement to be used in conjunction with physical activity.
- 24. Defendant NEXT PROTEINS and its agents and/or employees knew or should have known that the aforementioned risks associated with ingestion of ephedrine are unreasonably increased when used in conjunction with physical activity. Furthermore, Defendant NEXT PROTEINS and its agents and/or employees knew or should have known that the aforementioned risks associated with ingestion of ephedrine are unreasonably increased when used in conjunction with caffeine consumption.
- 25. Defendant NEXT PROTEINS and its agents and/or employees had a duty to warn sellers and foreseeable users, including Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS of any and all risks associated with the use of Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange.
- 26. In violation of the aforementioned duties, Defendant NEXT PROTEINS and its agents and/or employees were guilty of one or more of the following negligent acts or omissions:
  - (a) Failure to exercise reasonable care by using product ingredients which were unsafe, and which can lead to serious cardiovascular and neurological incidents including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death;
  - (b) Failure to properly warn product users of the potential complications from the use of Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange, Including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death;
  - (c) Failure to provide product information to retailers and distributors which would permit them to educate customers and users concerning the aforementioned

- potential complications from the use of Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, selzures and death:
- (d) Failure to properly test Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange before selling the product to the public;
- (e) Failure to provide a warning to sellers and product users, including Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS, that Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange had not been subjected to substantial and adequate testing prior to marketing;
- (f) Marketing Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange as an energy supplement for uses known to increase the potential for complications, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death;
- (g) Were otherwise careless and negligent.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of one or more of the aforementioned allegations of negligence, Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS was injured.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff JOSHUATHEIS prays for the entry of a verdict by a jury against Defendant NEXT PROTEINS, INC., t/k/a NEXT NUTRITION, INC., d/b/a NEXT PROTEINS INTERNATIONAL, in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, illinois and as a jury may deem fit and which will fairly and adequately compensate Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS for the injuries and damages sustained.

# COUNT II (NEGLIGENCE) <u>ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY and</u> <u>ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC</u>

- 1-19. Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS hereby adopts paragraphs 1-19 as though fully set forth herein.
- 20. Defendants ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY and ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC had a duty to distribute Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange products in a reasonably safe condition.

- 21. Defendants ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY and ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC and their agents and employees knew or should have known that Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange contained ephedrine, an ingredient known to cause serious adverse cardiovascular and neurological incidents including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death.
- 22. Defendants ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY and ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC and their agents and/or employees knew or should have known that the aforementioned risks associated with ingestion of ephedrine are unreasonably increased when used in conjunction with physical activity. Furthermore, Defendants ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY and ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC and their agents and/or employees knew or should have known that the aforementioned risks associated with ingestion of ephedrine are unreasonably increased when used in conjunction with caffeine consumption.
- 23. Defendants ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY and ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC and their agents and/or employees had a duty to warn sellers and foreseeable users, including Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS of any and all risks associated with the use of Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange.
- 24. In violation of the aforementioned duties, Defendants ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY and ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC and their agents and/or employees were guilty of one or more of the following negligent acts or omissions:
  - (a) Failure to properly warn product users of the potential complications from the use of Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death:
  - (b) Failure to provide product information to retailers and distributors which would permit them to educate customers and users concerning the aforementioned

- potential complications from the use of Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death;
- (c) Failure to provide a warning to sellers and product users, including Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS, that Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange had not been subjected to substantial and adequate testing prior to marketing; and
- (d) Were otherwise careless and negligent.
- 25. As a direct and proximate result of one or more of the aforementioned allegations of negligence, Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS was injured

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS prays for the entry of a verdict by a jury against Defendants ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY and ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC, and each of them, in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois and as a jury may deem fit and which will fairly and adequately compensate Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS for the injuries and damages sustained.

## COUNT III (NEGLIGENCE) MUSCLETECH RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, INC.

- 1-19. Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS hereby adopts paragraphs 1-19 as though fully set forth herein.
  - Hydroxycut contains ephedrine. Hydroxycut also contains caffeine.
- 21. Defendant MUSCLETECH had a duty to formulate and manufacture its product sold as Hydroxycut in a reasonably safe condition.
- 22. Defendant MUSCLETECH and its agents and/or employees knew or should have known that Hydroxycut contained ephedrine, an ingredient known to cause serious adverse cardiovascular and neurosurgical incidents, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death.

- . 23. Defendant MUSCLETECH marketed Hydroxycut as a supplement to be used In conjunction with physical activity.
- 24. Defendant MUSCLETECH and its agents and/or employees knew or should have known that the aforementioned risks associated with ingestion of ephedrine are unreasonably increased when used in conjunction with physical activity. Furthermore, Defendant MUSCLETECH and its agents and/or employees knew or should have known that the aforementioned risks associated with ingestion of ephedrine are unreasonably increased when used in conjunction with caffelne consumption.
- 25. Defendant MUSCLETECH and its agents and/or employees had a duty to warn settlers and foreseeable users, including Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS of any and all risks associated with the use of Hydroxycut.
- 26. In violation of the aforementioned duties, Defendant MUSCLETECH and its agents and/or employees were guilty of one or more of the following negligent acts or omissions:
  - (a) Failure to exercise reasonable care by using product ingredients which were unsafe, and which can lead to serious cardiovascular and neurological incidents including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death;
  - (b) Failure to properly warn product users of the potential complications from the use of Hydroxycut, including heart arrhythmla, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death;
  - (c) Failure to provide product information to retailers and distributors which would permit them to aducate customers and users concerning the aforementioned potential complications from the use of Hydroxycut, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death;
  - (d) Failure to properly test Hydroxycut before selling the product to the public;
  - (e) Failure to provide a warning to sellers and product users, including Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS, that Hydroxycut had not been subjected to substantial and adequate testing prior to marketing; and

- Was otherwise careless and negligent.
- 27. As a direct and proximate result of one or more of the aforementioned allegations of negligence, Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS was injured.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS prays for the entry of a verdict by a jury against Defendant MUSCLETECH RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, INC., in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois and as a jury may deem fit and which will fairly and adequately compensate Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS for the injuries and damages sustained.

# COUNT V (NEGLIGENCE) GENERAL NUTRITION CORPORATION. d/b/a GENERAL NUTRITION COMPANIES, INC., a Wholiv Owned Subsidiary of ROYAL NUMICO VN

- 1-19. Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS hereby adopts paragraphs 1-19 as though fully set forth herein.
- 20. Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS purchased Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange at GNC stores located in Illinois and Iowa.
- 21. The Piaintiff JOSHUATHEIS purchased the majority of the Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange, which he later consumed, at a GNC store located in Homer Glen, Illinois.
- 22. Hydroxycut, Uitimate Punch and Ultimate Orange contain ephedrine.

  Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange also contain caffeine or a caffeine equivalent.
- 23. Defendant GNC had a duty to sell the Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange products in a reasonably safe condition.

- 24. Defendant GNC and its agents, employees and franchisees knew or should have known that Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange contained ephedrine, an ingredient known to cause serious adverse cardiovascular and neurosurgical incidents, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death.
- 25. Defendant GNC marketed and sold Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange as energy supplements to be used in conjunction with physical activity.
- 26. Defendant GNC and its agents, employees and franchisees knew or should have known that the aforementioned risks associated with ingestion of ephedrine are unreasonably increased when used in conjunction with physical activity. Furthermore, Defendant GNC and its agents and/or employees knew or should have known that the aforementioned risks associated with ingestion of ephedrine are unreasonably increased when used in conjunction with caffeine or caffeine equivalent consumption.
- 27. Defendant GNC and its agents, employees and franchisees had a duty to warn customers and foreseeable users, including Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS of any and all risks associated with the use of Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange.
- 28. Defendant GNC and its agents, employees and franchisees had a duty to train, control and supervise its franchised stores to ensure that the Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange were sold in a reasonably safe manner.
- 28. In violation of the aforementioned duties, Defendant GNC and its agents and/or employees were guilty of one or more of the following negligent acts or omissions:
  - (a) Failure to properly warn customers and product users of the potential complications from the use of Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death;
  - (b) Failure to provide a warning to customers and product users, including Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS, that Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange had not been subjected to substantial and adequate testing prior to marketing;

- (c) Marketing and selling Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange as energy supplements for uses known to increase the potential for complications, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death;
- (d) Failure to properly train, control and supervise its franchised stores, resulting in negligent acts or omissions of Defendant GNC's franchisees; and
- (e) Were otherwise careless and negligent.
- 29. As a direct and proximate result of one or more of the aforementioned allegations of negligence, Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS was injured.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS prays for the entry of a verdict by a jury against Defendant GENERAL NUTRITION CORPORATION, d/b/a GENERAL NUTRITION COMPANIES, INC., a wholly owned subsidiary of ROYAL NUMICO NV, in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois and as a jury may deem fit and which will fairly and adequately compensate Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS for the injuries and damages sustained.

# COUNT VI (NEGLIGENCE-RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR) GENERAL NUTRITION CORPORATION. d/b/a GENERAL NUTRITION COMPANIES, INC.. a Wholly Owned Subsidiary of ROYAL NUMICO VN

- 1-19. Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS hereby adopts paragraphs 1-19 as though fully set forth herein.
- 20. Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS purchased Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange at GNC stores located in Itinois and Iowa.
- 21. The Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS purchased the majority of the Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange, which he later consumed, at a GNC store located in Horner Glen, Illinois.

- 22. At all times relevant hereto, the franchisees of the Homer Glen, Illinois and Iowa GNC stores were agents of GNC, and GNC was the principal of such franchisees, as GNC had the right to control the conduct of said franchisees.
- 23. In the alternative at all times relevant hereto, the franchisees of the Homer Glen, illinois and lowa GNC stores were apparent agents of GNC, as GNC consented to or knowingly acquiesced in such franchisees' exercise of authority on GNC's behalf, and third party customers and users of products sold by such franchisees possessed a good faith belief that the franchisees possessed authority on GNC's behalf and relied on the franchisees' authority.
- 24. Ultimate Punch, Ultimate Orange and Hydroxycut contain ephedrine. Ultimate Punch, Ultimate Orange and Hydroxycut also contain caffeine or a caffeine equivalent.
- 25. GNC's franchisees had a duty to sell the Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange products in a reasonably safe condition.
- 26. GNC's franchisees and its agents, employees and franchisees knew or should have known that Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange contained ephedrine, an ingredient known to cause serious adverse cardiovascular and neurosurgical incidents, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, selzures and death.
- 27. GNC's franchisees marketed and sold Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange as energy supplements to be used in conjunction with physical activity.
- 28. GNC's franchisees and its agents, employees and franchisees knew or should have known that the aforementioned risks associated with ingestion of ephedrine are unreasonably increased when used in conjunction with physical activity. Furthermore, GNC's franchisees and its agents and/or employees knew or should have known that the

aforementioned risks associated with ingestion of ephedrine are unreasonably increased when used in conjunction with caffeine or caffeine equivalent consumption.

- 29. Defendant GNC's franchisees and its agents, employees and franchisees had a duty to warn customers and foreseeable users, including Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS of any and all risks associated with the use of Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange.
- 30. In violation of the aforementioned duties, Defendant GNC's franchisees and its agents and/or employees were guilty of one or more of the following negligent acts or omissions:
  - (a) Failure to properly warn customers and product users of the potential complications from the use of Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death;
  - (b) Failure to provide a warning to customers and product users, including Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS, that Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange had not been subjected to substantial and adequate testing prior to marketing;
  - (c) Marketing and seiling Hydroxycut, Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange as energy supplements for uses known to increase the potential for complications, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death; and
  - (d) Were otherwise careless and negligent.
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of one or more of the aforementioned allegations of negligence, Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS was injured.
- 32. At all times relevant hereto, GNC's franchisees were the actual and/or apparent agents of franchiser GNC.
- 33. GNC, as principal, is vicariously liable for the negligence of the franchisees, as actual or apparent agents, who operated the GNC stores through a franchise agreement.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS prays for the entry of a verdict by a jury against Defendant GENERAL NUTRITION CORPORATION, d/b/a GENERAL NUTRITION COMPANIES, INC., a wholly owned subsidiary of ROYAL NUMICO NV, in an amount in

plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS for the injuries and damages sustained.

### COUNT VII (STRICT LIABILITY) NEXT PROTEINS, INC.

- 1-19. Plaintiff hereby adopts paragraphs 1-19 as though fully set forth herein.
- 20. At all times relevant herein, NEXT PROTEINS was in the business of manufacturing, marketing, distributing and selling Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange.
- 21. Prior to August 6, 2002, NEXT PROTEINS and its agents and employees put into stream of commerce for distribution Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange.
- 22. At the time Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS ingested Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange, said products were in the same condition as they were when they left the control of Defendant NEXT PROTEINS.
- 23. At the time the Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange left the control of Defendant NEXT PROTEINS, said products were defective and unreasonably dengerous in that:
  - (a) They contained ingredients known to cause potential adverse health effects, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death under conditions or normal, proper and reasonably foreseeable use;
  - (b) They were not accompanied by warnings of known risks associated with the use of the products in their proper and reasonably foreseeable use;
  - (c) They were not accompanied by a warning that such products and/or ingredients contained in such product had been associated with serious adverse reactions, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death under conditions of normal, proper and reasonably foreseeable use; and
  - (d) They were not accompanied by a warning that they had not been subjected to substantial and adequate testing prior to marketing.
- 24. As a direct and proximate result of one or more of the aforementioned allegations, Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS was injured.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS prays for the entry of a verdict by a jury against Defendant NEXT PROTEINS, INC., t/k/a NEXT NUTRITION, INC., d/b/a NEXT PROTEINS INTERNATIONAL, in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois and as a jury may deem fit and which will fairly and adequately compensate Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS for the injuries and damages sustained.

# COUNT VIII (STRICT LIABILITY) <u>ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY and</u> <u>ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO.. LLC</u>

- 1-19. Plaintiff hereby adopts paragraphs 1-19 as though fully set forth herein.
- 20. At all times relevant herein, ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY and ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC were in the business of manufacturing, marketing, distributing and setting Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange.
- 21. Prior to August 6, 2002, ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY and ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC and their agents and employees distributed Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange.
- 22. At the time Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS ingested Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange, said products were in the same condition as they were when they left the control of Defendants ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY and ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC.
- 23. At the time the Ultimate Punch and Ultimate Orange left the control of Defendants ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY and ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC, said products were defective and unreasonably dangerous in that:
  - (a) They contained ingredients known to cause potential adverse health effects, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death under conditions or normal, proper and reasonably foreseeable use;

- (b) They were not accompanied by warnings of known risks associated with the use of the products in their proper and reasonably foreseeable use;
- (c) They were not accompanied by a warning that such products and/or ingredients contained in such product had been associated with serious adverse reactions, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death under conditions of normal, proper and reasonably foreseeable use; and
- (d) They were not accompanied by a warning that it had not been subjected to substantial and adequate testing prior to marketing.
- 24. As a direct and proximate result of one or more of the aforementioned allegations, Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS was injured.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff JOSHUATHEIS prays for the entry of a verdict by a jury against Defendants ULTIMATE ENERGY COMPANY and ULTIMATE ORANGE ENERGY CO., LLC in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois and as a jury may deem fit and which will fairly and adequately compensate Plaintiff JOSHUATHEIS for the injuries and damages sustained.

# COUNT IX (STRICT LIABILITY) MUSCLETECH RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, INC.

- 1-19. Plaintiff hereby adopts paragraphs 1-19 as though fully set forth herein.
- 20. At all times relevant herein, MUSCLETECH was in the business of manufacturing, marketing, distributing and selling Hydroxycut.
- 21. Prior to August 6, 2002, MUSCLETECH and its agents and employees put into stream of commerce for distribution Hydroxycut.
- 22. At the time Plaintiff JOSHUATHEIS ingested Hydroxycut, said product was in the same condition as it was when it left the control of Defendant MUSCLETECH.
- 23. At the time the Hydroxycut left the control of Defendant MUSCLETECH, said product was defective and unreasonably dangerous in that:

- (a) It contained ingredients known to cause potential adverse health effects, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death under conditions or normal, proper and reasonably foreseeable use;
  - (b) It was not accompanied by warnings of known risks associated with the use of the product in its proper and reasonably foreseeable use;
  - (c) It was not accompanied by a warning that such product and/or ingredients contained in such product had been associated with serious adverse reactions, including heart arrhythmia, strokes, cardiac arrests, seizures and death under conditions of normal, proper and reasonably foreseeable use; and
  - (d) It was not accompanied by a warning that it had not been subjected to substantial and adequate testing prior to marketing.
- 24. As a direct and proximate result of one or more of the aforementioned allegations, Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS was injured.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS prays for the entry of a verdict by a jury against Defendant MUSCLETECH RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT, INC. in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limits of the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois and as a jury may deem fit and which will fairly and adequately compensate Plaintiff JOSHUA THEIS for the injuries and damages sustained.

PAUL B. EPISCOPE, ILE

Michael T. Mullen

PAUL B. EPISCOPE, LLC Attorneys for Plaintiff 77 West Washington Street, Suite 300 Chicago, IL 60602 312/782-6636 #20075

### IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION

JOSHUA THEIS

No.

& COPY

NEXT PROTEINS, INC.,

041 007864 CALENDAR D PRODUCT LIABILITY

### CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET

٧.

A Civil Action Cover Sheet shall be filed with the complaint in all civil actions. The information contained herein is for administrative purposes only and cannot be introduced into evidence. Please check the box in front of the appropriate general category and then check the subcategory thereunder, if applicable, which best characterizes your action.

Jury Demand 🚨 Yes 🚨 No

### PERSONAL INJURY/WRONGFUL DEATH

- □ 027 Motor Vehicle
- □ 040 Medical Malpractice
- ☐ 047 Asbestos
- 2 048 Dram Shop
- 4 049 Product Liability
- O 051 Construction Injuries
  (including Structural Work Act, Road
  Construction Injuries Act and negligence)
- ☐ 052 Railroad/FELA
- O 053 Pediatric Lead Exposure
- ☐ 061 Other Personal Injury/Wrongful Death
- O63 Intentional Tort
- ☐ 064 Miscellaneous Statutory Action (Please Specify Below\*\*)
- O 065 Premises Liability
- □ 078 Fep-phen/Redux Litigation
- □ 199 Silicone Implant

### ☐ 062 PROPERTY DAMAGE

#### 1 066 LEGAL MALPRACTICE

### TAX & MISCELLANEOUS REMEDIES

- □ '007 Confession of Judgment
- □ 008 Replevin
- 1 009 Tax
- C 015 Condemnation
- C 017 Detinue
- \*\*D 029 Unemployment Compensation
- 🔘 036 Administrative Review Action
- Q 085 Petition to Register Poreign Judgment
- C 099 All Other Extraordinary Remedies

LEW DIVISION	10 13 FE W 40	
	(FILE STAI	MP)

### ☐ COMMERCIAL LITIGATION

- Q 002 Breach of Contract
- O70 Professional Malpractice
  (other than legal or medical)
- Cl 071 Fraud
- D 072 Consumer Fraud
- 1 073 Breach of Warranty
- O 074 Statutory Action
  - (Please Specify Below\*\*)
- O 075 Other Commercial Litigation (Please Specify Below\*\*)
- □ 076 Retaliatory Discharge

#### Q 077 LIBEL/SLANDER

### OTHER ACTIONS

- D 079 Petition for Qualified Orders
- O 084 Petition to Issue Subpoena
- 100 Petition for Discovery

By: (Attorbey) (Pro Se)

1			
<del>-</del>	Jan. 1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COU	
	•	COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DI	IVISION
•	4	The state of the s	04 . 19 . 4
		Plaintiff(s)	Case No. 04 L 7864
		V. Defendant(s)	•
	A	1 W 1 de la latera de latera de la latera de latera de la latera de latera de la latera de la latera de latera de la latera de la latera de la latera de latera de latera de la latera de latera delatera de latera de latera delatera delatera de latera de latera delatera delatera de latera delatera de	Motion Call "" Line #:
		Distribution	Motion Call "" Line #:
		Detendant(s)	
	$\mathbf{M}A$	ASTER CALENDAR MOTION COURTROOMS CA	SE MANAGEMENT ORDER
		(Please check off all pertinent paragraphs and circl	e proper party name.)
			1a. Category #2 (28 Month Discovery)
(4296)	2.	Written discovery & 213(f)(1) and (2) disclosures to	be completed by;
(4218)	3.	Oral Discovery & 213(f)(1) and (2) depositions to be	completed by;
(4218)	4.	Treating physicians depositions to be completed by	
(4288)	5.	Subpoenas for treating physicians depositions to be i	ssued by;
(4296)	6.	shall complete outstanding written d	iscovery by;
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		shall be presented for deposition by	
		Plaintiff/Defendant/Add. Party shall answer 213 (f)(3	
• •		Plaintiff's 213(f)(3) witnesses to be deposed by	
		. Defendant's 213(f)(3) witnesses to be deposed by	•
(4218)	11.	. Additional party's 213(f)(3) witnesses to be deposed by	
(4619)	<u> </u>	. The matter is continued for subsequent Case Manag	gement Conference on 13-7-04
· /	<del></del>	at Sam/DM in Room 7.208 for	-
		(A) Proper Service (B) Appearance of Defen	
		(D) Pleading Status (E) Discovery Status	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		* /	
		(G) Other:	
			1.100
		G 1 1 1 1 1 C 1 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (10 (	The continue to the disputered window
(4005)	13	. Case is dismissed for want of prosecution.(4040) 735 ILCS 5/2-1009.	The case is voluntarily dismissed under
NOTICE:		733 ILCS 3/2-1009.	
	of any	party to comply with this Case Management Order will I	oe a basis for Rule 219(c) sanctions.
* Failure	of any	party to enforce this Case Management Order	
		a waiver of such discovery by that party.	
		ing on the Trial Call in Courtroom 2005 must ery in Lines 2 through 11 completed.	
* A copy	of this	order is to be sent to each party by his/her counsel	
		days of the initial Case Management Date.	
Atty. No.:_	23 a		Canda Candena
Name:	, NAM	the state of the s	GGT 12 7994   6
Atty.for:_			Judge's Stamp
Address:_	· · ·	ENTERED:	JUDGE JEFFREY LAWRENCE
City/State/	Zip: <u> </u>	BITTERED	Barrier and the state of the st
Telephone:	·	<u> </u>	- Z cymary
		Judge	EXHIBIT Judge's No.
	DO	ROTHY BROWN, CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT	COFC BOIS

JS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

matter.

NOV 1 0 2004

### CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS = 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

	civil docket sheet. (SEE INS	STRUCTIONS	ON THE REV			1,	*** ** <b>=-=</b>		
I.(a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDA					
JOSHUA '	THE1S			NEXT PROTEINS, INC., f/k/a NEXT					
	:					C d/b/a NE	XT PROTEINS		
		_	D	INTERNA	TIONAL	, et al.			
(b) county of sector	ENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAIN	.TIEE //		COUNTY OF RE	SIDENCE OF	FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT	·		
	PT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASE		<del>                                     </del>	NOTE NO.		6. PLAINTIFF CASES ONL			
(		· ( (	٧/		AND CONDEM AND INVOLVE	NATION CASES, USE THE L ED	OGATION OF THE TRACT		
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM !	NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEP Scope, Ltd.,	HONE NUMBER	<del></del>	ATTORNEYS (II	F KNOWN)	Jeffrey Sing	er		
Paul B. Epi:	scope, Ltd.,	77 W							
	St., Suite 30	0, Chi	cago,	Segal, McCambridge, Singer & Mahoney 330 N. Wabash Ave., Suite 200					
IL 6,0602,	312-782 <b>-</b> 6636			Chicag		<b>60₫₽ĎĢĒĶ</b> Ĕ			
					\	<u>^                                    </u>	4		
II. BASIS OF JURIS	SDICTION (PLACE AN TX' IN OI	NE 80X ONLY)	III. CITIZI	ENSHIP O	RH IP	LPARTIES (PLATE AND	IN INE BOOK OF PLAINTIFF AND		
					PTE "DEE		PTE DEE		
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Governm Party)	ent Not a	Citizen of	This <b>WAS</b> GIS			PTF DEF pal Place 4 4		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citize Parties In Item	nghip of	Citizen of	Another State	□ 2 □ 2	Incorporated <i>and</i> Princ of Business in Anoth	dpal Place5 🔀 5 her State		
	Parties in Item	110)	Citizen or Foreig	Subject of a n Country	3 3	Foreign Nation	6 6		
IV. NATURE OF S	UIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ON	NE BOX ONLY	)				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
CONTRACT	TOF	RT\$		FORFEITURE	/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL I		☐610 Agriculture		☐422 Appeal 28 USC 158	400 State Reapport/onmant		
☐ 120 Manne ☐ 130 Miller Act	310 Airplane 316 Airplane Product	562 Personal Ir Med Mel	njury - practice	620 Other Food 525 Orug Relate	& Drug d Selzure	423 Withdrawai 28 USC 157	410 Antitrust		
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability   320 Assault, Libel &	385 Personyil in	niu esz	525 (Irug Relate of Property 881	21 USC	PROPERTY RIGHTS	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC		
150 Recovery of Overpay- ment & Enforcement	Siander (	Product L	iability	630 tiquor Laws	s K		1 450 Deportation		
of Judgment □151 Medicare Act	330 Foderal Employers		iduct Liability	650 Airline Rogs 660 Occupations Health	i. sl Safety/	☐ \$20 Capyrights ☐ \$30 Patent	470 Rackejeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations		
152 Rocovery of De- faulted Student	340 Marine 346 Marine Product	PERSONAL F		☐ Fleaith ☐ 690 Other		☐840 Trademark	B10 Selective Service		
Leans (Excl. Veterans)	Liability	371 Truth in Le	nding	LABC	)R	SOCIAL SECURITY	850 Secuntres/Com modities/Exchange		
153 Recovery of Over- payment of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Pers Property I		710 Fair Labor S	Standards	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 ☐ 881 Agricultural Acts		
Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	Product Liability	385 Property D	amage	Act	: Relations	962 Black Lung (923) 963 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	892 Economic Stabilization Act		
190 Other Contract	360 Other Personal Injury	Product L	lability.	730 Labor/Mgmi	1	(405(g)) 	893 Environmental		
Liability				Reporting 8 i ☐740 Railway Lat	Disclosure Act	865 RSI (405(g))	B94 Energy Allocation		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISC		790 Other Labor		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	⊞ 895 Freedom of Information Act		
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foradosure	441 Voting 442 Employment	510 Motions t Sentence HABEAS C	o Vacate	791 Empl. Ret. I Security A		870 Taxes (U.S. Ptaintiff or Defendant)	900 Appeat of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to		
230 Rent Lease & Sjectment	443 Housing/ Accommodations	HABEAS CI	ORPUS:	Gooding A	ot.	•	JUBIICO .		
240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability	444 Welfare 440 Other Civil Rights	535 Death Pe 540 Mandami				☐ 871 IRS – Third Party 26 USC 7609	950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
290 All Other Real Property	Targo Ottol Civil (4/2):13	550 Civil Righ 555 Prison Co	ts .				☐ 890 Other Statufory Actions		
V. ORIGIN		E AN "X" IN ONE E		<u> </u>		7.0			
V. ORIGIN	,		,	Transf	erred from		Appeal to District Judge from		
	tate Court ∟∟ Appel	anded from Late Court	☐4 Reinstater Reopened	d or 5 anothe s (speci	er district fy)	6 Multidistrict Litigation	] Magistrate Judgment		
YI, CAUSE OF ACT	ION (CITE THE U.S. CIVILSTAT - Products Li	UTE UNDER WHICH	CUNCES ABEVEIN	ING AND WRITE BRI SITY.)	EF STATEMENT	OF CAUSE.			
26 USC 1332	from Illino	iapilit Dis Sta	y/Pers te Cou	onai ing rt	jury Di	versity Acti ?.			
VII. REQUESTED II	N CHĘĆK IF THIS IS A	CI ACC ACT	ION I	DEMAND \$		CHECK YES only if der	nanded la complaint		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	1n	excess	of \$75,	000	<u></u>	YES NO		
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions):	JDGE/			חטכעי	T NUMBER	7		
DATE /			ADNEY OF T	ortono	20010				
11/9/04	Th.//	TURE OF ALF	_/يـــه	<u> 1                                    </u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>	3 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9		
	Musclefech I					c demands ti	rial by jury		
for	all matters a	and con	trover	gieg ro	lating	to the shoppe	s-dentioned		

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

In the Matter of

### EASTERN DIVISION

DOCKETED

JOSHUA THEIS V. NEXT PROTEINS, INC., f/k/a NEXT NUTRITION, INC., d/b/a NEXT PROTEINS INTERNATIONAL, et al.

Case Number: NP PV 0 2004

04C 7248

APPEARANCES ARE HEREBY FILED BY THE UNDERSIGNED AS ATTORNEY(S) FOR:

MUSCLETECII RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT, INC.

MAGISTRATE JUNGE BERADA

			_	<u> </u>						
(A)		>	/	4 =		<del></del> _				
SIGNATURE			$\angle$		SIGNATURE STUCKI HO	Ler	*****			
NAME LLOYD E. WILLIAMS,	JR.		_	1	STEVEN J. ROEDER					
Williams Montgomery & John Ltd.				Williams Montgomery & John Ltd.						
STREET ADDRESS 20 N. Wacker Dr., Suite 2100			STREET ADDRESS 20 N. Wacker Dr., Suite 2100							
Chicago, IL 60606				Chicago, IL 60606						
TELEPTIONE NUMBER				TELEPHONE NUMBER 312-443-3256		FAX NUMBER 312-630-8500				
1ew@willmont.c	om				E-MAIL ADDRESS sjr@willmont.	.com		<u>.</u> .		
IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) 3024903					IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE 115M 4 ON REVER	RSE)				
MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES	Ŋ	NO		MEMBER OF TRIAL BAR?	YES		NO		
TRIAL ATTORNEY!	YES	v	NO		TRIAL ATTORNEY?	YES		NO		
				·	DESIGNATED AS LOCAL COUNSEL?	YES		NO	V	
90	7				(D	<u>)</u>				
SKINATURE ALL TIME	7				SIGNATURE Dean D. Clar	))				
SIGNATURE RAME David E. Kravinz	7 <u> </u>				<u> </u>	) <u>(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,</u>				
NAME XXXX	/ гу & .	John	Ltd.		SIGNATURE Dea D. Ja	V.S.	<u>?</u> I 觀o	_td,		
NAME David E. Kravinz					NAME Sean G. Joyce	nery & J	<u>v.—</u>			
David E. Kraviez  FIRM Williams Montgomes	r., Su				NAME Sean G. Joyce  FIRM Williams Montgor	nery & J	<u>v.—</u>			
David E. Kravizz  FIRM Williams Montgomes  STREET ADDRESS  20 N. Wacker D	r., Su	ite 2	100		NAME Sean G. Joyce  FIRM Williams Montgor  STREET ADDRESS  20 N. Wacker	nery & J	ité 21	00		
David E. Kraviez  FIRM Williams Montgomer  STREET ADDRESS  20 N. Wacker D  CITY/STATEZIP Chicago, IL 606  TELEPHONE NUMBER	r., Su 506	ite 2	100		SIGNATURE Sean G. Joyce  NAME Sean G. Joyce  FIRM Williams Montgor  STREET ADDRESS  20 N. Wacker  CITYATTATEZIP Chicago, IL 6	DE Sur	ité 21	00		
David E. Kravizz  FIRM Williams Montgome  STREET ADDRESS  20 N. Wacker D  CITY/STATEZIP Chicago, IL 606  TELEPHONE NUMBER 312-443-3856	r., Su 506	ite 2	100		SIGNATURE  NAME Sean G. Joyce  FIRM Williams Montgor  STREET ADDRESS 20 N. Wacker  CITYASTATEZIP Chicago, IL 6  TELEPHONE NUMBER 312-855-4862	nery & J	ité 21	00		
David E. Kravizz  FIRM Williams Montgomer  STREET ADDRESS 20 N. Wacker D.  CITY/STATE/ZIP Chicago, IL 606  TELEPHONE NUMBER 312-443-3856  E-MAIL ADDRESS dek@willmont.c	r., Su 506	ite 2	100		NAME Sean G. Joyce  FIRM Williams Montgor  STREET ADDRESS  20 N. Wacker  CITYATATEZIF  Chicago, IL 6  TELEPHONE NUMBER 312-855-4862  E-MAIL ADDRESS  Sgj@willmont  IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVER	nery & J	ité 21	00		
David E. Kravizz  FIRM Williams Montgomer  STREET ADDRESS  20 N. Wacker D.  CITY/STATEZIP Chicago, IL 606  TELEPHONE NUMBER 312-443-3856  F-MAIL ADDRESS  dek@willmont.c.  IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVERSE) 6225377	r., Su 606 FAX NU 312.	ite 2	500		NAME Sean G. Joyce  FIRM Williams Montgor  STREET ADDRESS  20 N. Wacker  CITY/STATEZIP Chicago, IL 6  TELEPHONE NUMBER 312-855-4862  E-MAIL ADDRESS  Sgj@willmont  IDENTIFICATION NUMBER (SEE ITEM 4 ON REVER 6280306	mery & J DE Sur  00606  FAX N 3 12  t.com	ité 21	00		